

**ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE**

**LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE**

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

I. AESTHETICS

*Would the project:*

- |  |       |       |       |       |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?  | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?  | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?                                    | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |

II. AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES

*Would the project:*

- |  |       |       |       |       |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?   | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?  | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |

III. AIR QUALITY

*Would the project:*

- |  |       |       |       |       |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?                                    | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |

**LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE**

**ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE**

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria air pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors?

_____	_____	_____	_____
-------	-------	-------	-------

d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?

_____	_____	_____	_____
-------	-------	-------	-------

e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

_____	_____	_____	_____
-------	-------	-------	-------

**IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

*Would the project:*

a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

_____	_____	_____	_____
-------	-------	-------	-------

b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

_____	_____	_____	_____
-------	-------	-------	-------

c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

_____	_____	_____	_____
-------	-------	-------	-------

d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

_____	_____	_____	_____
-------	-------	-------	-------

e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?

_____	_____	_____	_____
-------	-------	-------	-------

f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?

_____	_____	_____	_____
-------	-------	-------	-------



ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE			
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>VII. HAZARDS</b> <i>Would the project:</i>				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials	_____	_____	_____	_____
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	_____	_____	_____	_____
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	_____	_____	_____	_____
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	_____	_____	_____	_____
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	_____	_____	_____	_____
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	_____	_____	_____	_____
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	_____	_____	_____	_____
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY</b> <i>Would the project:</i>				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE	LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE			
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	_____	_____	_____	_____
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	_____	_____	_____	_____
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	_____	_____	_____	_____
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	_____	_____	_____	_____
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	_____	_____	_____	_____
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	_____	_____	_____	_____
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	_____	_____	_____	_____
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	_____	_____	_____	_____
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	_____	_____	_____	_____
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	_____	_____	_____	_____
IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING <i>Would the project:</i>				
a) Physically divide an established community?	_____	_____	_____	_____

**LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE**

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

**ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE**

<p>b) Conflict with applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to, the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>X. MINERAL RESOURCES <i>Would the project:</i></p>				
<p>a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and residents of the state?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>XI. NOISE <i>Would the project result in:</i></p>				
<p>a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>e) For a project located within an airport land use plan, or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project areas to excessive noise levels?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>f) For a project in the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____

**LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE**

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

**ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE**

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING</b> <i>Would the proposal:</i>				
a) Induce substantial growth in an area either directly (e.g., by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	_____	_____	_____	_____
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing units, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	_____	_____	_____	_____
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES</b>				
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives of any of the public services:				
Fire protection?	_____	_____	_____	_____
Police protection?	_____	_____	_____	_____
Schools?	_____	_____	_____	_____
Parks?	_____	_____	_____	_____
Other public facilities?	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>XIV. RECREATION</b>				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	_____	_____	_____	_____
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC</b> <i>Would the project:</i>				

**LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE**

**ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE**

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

<p>a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ration on roads, or congestion at intersections)?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>e) Result in inadequate emergency access?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS</p> <p><i>Would the project:</i></p>				
<p>a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<p>d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing water entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?</p>	_____	_____	_____	_____



**ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE**

**LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE**

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?

\_\_\_\_\_

f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?

\_\_\_\_\_

g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

\_\_\_\_\_

**XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

\_\_\_\_\_

b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)

\_\_\_\_\_

c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

\_\_\_\_\_